

April 2, 1996

LB 681, 1114, 1189, 1368, 1375

SPEAKER WITHEM: Amendment is not adopted. The call is raised. Would announce that the agenda calls for us, at 7:00 p.m., to move to consideration of LB 1189. We will do so. Mr. Clerk, any items for the record?

CLERK: Yes, Mr. President, I do. Senator Withem, amendments to 1368 and to 1375 to be printed. That's all that I have, Mr. President. (Journal shows an amendment by Senator Lindsay to LB 681. See pages 1801-04 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. We will turn to LB 1189. Mr. Clerk, can you tell us what the first motion pending on LB 1189.

CLERK: Mr. President, with respect to 1189, the next amendment I have to the bill is by Senator Lindsay. Senator Lindsay, 4155, Senator.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a priority motion. Failed to recognize it. Apologize to the Senator. Senator Bernard-Stevens would move to reconsider the amendment considered last evening from Senator Coordsen, AM4114. (See pages 1561 and 1739-40 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Bernard-Stevens, to open on your motion to reconsider.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you, Mr. Clerk, for.... It made no difference. We would get to it one way or another, Patrick. Members of the body, this is not a motion that if you support will stop the automation process, and I want to emphasize that from the very beginning. A motion...what I'm asking to do is to reconsider the Coordsen amendment which allowed for, I think it was, 79,000 dollars of General Fund dollars. If you vote to reconsider, obviously, I would hope then that we would not do the Coordsen amendment. With that, let me be very clear what I'm trying to do here. I am personally am in favor of the automation project. I want to go forward. What I would rather do, and I've had some discussions with Senator Coordsen and others on it, is I would rather, as we're talking about the property tax situation, as